UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/571,070	03/08/2006	Hiroshi Sato	040894-7418	2394
, - -	7590 12/02/200 VIS & BOCKIUS LLP		EXAMINER	
1111 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE N		ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/571,07	70	SATO, HIROSHI				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Gary Estre		3677				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THE CFR 1.136(a). In no evon. period will apply and w statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 September 2	2008					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 September 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the applica	tion.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer						
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		Objected to by the	Examiner.				
. • / 🗀	Applicant may not request that any objection t							
					FR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reian priority un	der 35 I I S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority arr	aci 00 0.0.0. 3 110(a) (a) 51 (1).				
/-		ments have bee	n received.					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
				·	Stage			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			•					
A440 = b	4(a)							
Attachmen	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summers	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)								

Application/Control Number: 10/571,070 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP-A-10-009235 in view of JP-A-165256 and JP-A-199123 and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,791,014 to Libby, U.S. Pat. No. 6,626,294 to Fujishima, and U.S. Pat. No. 2,743,445 to Lerner.
- 3. Japan '235 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including: a "number of staple members" 1, a "tearable film" including 12. Although the reference does not illustrate the film is laminated from porous film as claimed, such technique is well known to one of ordinary skill in the tearable film art as shown by Japan '256 and Japan '123. It would have been obvious t one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the film or Japan '235 using lamination technique as disclosed by Japan '256 and Japan '123 in order to provide a film that is easy to tear using known methods of manufacture.
- 4. Although the preamble of Japan '235 appears to suggest providing the tearable film on either side of the staple, it is shown in Fig 3 to be applied on the side that will become the outer side of the bridge portion of the C-shape staple. Libby '856 discloses that it's well known in the art to provide the film adhered on the side of the staple that

Application/Control Number: 10/571,070

Art Unit: 3677

will become the inside portion of the bridge portion of the C-shape staple. Fujishima '294 (Fig's 4 and 6) illustrate the film to be applied to the side of the staple that will become the inside of the C-shape staple. Lerner '445 (Fig 7) illustrates the film to be applied to the inside face of the bridge portion of the C-shape staple. It would have been an obvious design choice or engineering expedient for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the film adhered either one or both of the inside and outside of the bridge portion inasmuch as each arrangement is well known and suggested by the prior art, whereby one of ordinary skill in the art is presumed to be aware of their inherent characteristics whereby one of ordinary skill in the art would have more than reasonable expectation of success.

Page 3

- 5. As regards claim 2, Japan '235, as relied on, discloses hole size and spacing are well known in the art.
- 6. As regards claims 4, 6, inasmuch as reference, as relied on, describes the structure as claimed. In this case, further recitation of the process that produces the claimed structure does not clearly further define any particular structure of the claimed invention that can be relied on to patentably distinguish from the structure of the prior art. Inasmuch as a product claim is defined by its structure, such a claim including a product-by-process limitation is interpreted as including the structure formed by the process, not as a method step (as it would be in a process of making-type claim). In any case, reference discloses manufacture technique that reads on process.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,070 Page 4

Art Unit: 3677

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. While it appears that the JP '235 reference does disclose providing film on either side, a secondary prior art has been cited as evidence of knowledge well known to those of ordinary skill in the art as regards the feature argued to be new.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,070 Page 5

Art Unit: 3677

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 571 272-7055. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Victor Batson can be reached on 571 272-6987. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gary Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677

/Gary Estremsky/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3677